

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,880	03/08/2004	Regis W. Haid JR.	4002-3436/PC853.00	2119
52196 7	10/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	KRIEG DEVAULT LLP COMSTOC ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800			C, DAVID C
	LIS, IN 46204-2709	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/10/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>Ł</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/795,880	HAID ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Comstock	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05.	July 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•	•	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 23-39</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7 is/are withdrawr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-39 are subject to restrict	ction and/or election require	ement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage	;
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not	received.	1
Attachment(s)	🗂 :		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·	

Art Unit: 3733

Election/Restrictions

Upon further consideration, it has become apparent that additional restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2, 8-21 and 25-39, drawn to an elongated stabilization device, classified in class 606, subclass 61.
- II. Claim 23, drawn to a drill, classified in class 606, subclass 80.
- III. Claim 24, drawn to an insertion instrument, classified in class 606, subclass 99.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are interrelated as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not substantially overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility as a stabilization device inserted into a passage created by, for example, a reamer. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are interrelated as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not substantially overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utilitly as a stabilization device inserted by hand or with ordinary tools. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3733

Inventions II and III are interrelated as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not substantially overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as a device for forming a passage for the introduction of cement or bone filler. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 3733

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo

Art Unit: 3733

Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

EDUARDØ Ø. HOBEHI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER